

# Advanced English 9 CP

## Audino

“American dream slips out of reach for millennials, study finds”

By Melissa Etehad, Natalie Kitroeff

Dec. 8, 2016

LA Times

First read		Second read
<p>Highlight and then record 3-4 of the most important words from this section in this column, with a short explanation of why they seem important:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>→</li><li>→</li><li>→</li></ul>	<p>Rico Johnson says that when he was growing up, he never had to worry about having clothes or getting three meals a day.</p> <p>His single mother, a human resources director at a San Diego nursing home, made enough to give him that peace of mind.</p> <p>But Johnson, 33, now makes \$12.50 an hour working at a Taco Bell in Richmond, Calif., and he struggles to make his paycheck cover the basics for his 10-year-old twins.</p> <p>“Things I am dealing with now, [my mother] didn’t have to deal with. ... It’s heartbreaking,” Johnson said. “I feel I am unable to provide my kids with the same opportunity.”</p> <p>His experience is the norm in America, a new study reveals.</p> <p>Since the 1940s, it has become less and less likely that children will grow up to earn more than their parents, according to a working paper authored by researchers from Stanford and Harvard universities and UC Berkeley, which was released online Thursday.</p> <p>Children born in 1940 had a 92% chance of taking home more income than their parents, the research shows. By contrast, someone born in 1984 — who is 32 years old today — has just a 50% likelihood of making more than his or her parents.</p> <p>Put another way: Only about half of 30-something Americans earn more than their parents.</p> <p>“Both rich and poor kids are sharing this loss of absolute mobility,” said Nathaniel Hendren, an assistant professor at Harvard who coauthored the study.</p> <p>Children born in recent decades have a significantly smaller chance of out earning their parents compared with those born in the 1940s.</p>	<p>Do you think that a higher income than your parents is part of the American Dream? Why or why not?</p> <p>→</p> <p>Record any reasons why it might be financially prudent to make more than your parents.</p> <p>→</p>

	<p>It is the first study to offer hard evidence of a trend that dominated the presidential election and helped fuel the election of Donald Trump: The American dream is more elusive than ever.</p> <p>Incomes are stagnating for people of all stripes, not just the poor. In fact, researchers found that upper middle-class Americans saw their chances of earning more than their parents decline the most of any group born from 1940 to 1980.</p>	
First read		Second read
<p><b>Highlight</b> and then record 3-4 of the most important words from this section in this column, with a short explanation of why they seem important:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→</li> <li>→</li> <li>→</li> </ul>	<p>The report’s authors, led by Raj Chetty at Stanford, used a database of tax records and Census data to link the household incomes of children to the household incomes of their parents, adjusting for inflation.</p> <p>Of course, people born in the 1950s might have naturally had a better chance at earning more than their parents, who came of age during the Great Depression.</p> <p>But the decline in mobility has persisted, unrelenting, through the 1960s, 1970s and 1980s.</p> <p>“This isn’t just a Depression effect; this is something that transcends that,” said David Grusky, a sociologist at Stanford who co-wrote the paper.</p> <p>Part of the reason for the stagnation is that the country’s economy isn’t expanding as fast as it once was. U.S. gross domestic product often grew at more than 5% in the postwar years, and hit 7.3% in 1984. Growth hasn’t reached 5% annually since then; it was 2.6% last year.</p> <p>Slower national growth, clearly, means there’s less new wealth to divide among the people who live and work here.</p> <p>But the researchers found that even if the nation was growing at the rate that it was in 1940, today’s 30-somethings would only be a little bit better off.</p> <p>If the U.S. today were expanding at the rate it was in the middle of the century, children born in the early 1980s would have a 62% shot of taking home more than their parents, compared with the 50% chance they actually have.</p>	<p>What is one of the key reasons peoples’ earnings are stuck, or as the article states ‘stagnating’ and not rising?</p> <p>→</p>
First read		Second read

Highlight and then record 3-4 of the most important words from this section in this column, with a short explanation of why they seem important:

- 
- 
- 

What’s really driving the problem is income inequality, the study found. In the past, new income was spread more evenly across the economic ladder than it is today, when a disproportionate share of the country’s gains are going to the very richest Americans.

Inflation-adjusted wages have only inched up for most workers since the 1980s. But the country’s highest earners have seen their pay balloon by 35%, according to a 2015 report by President Obama’s Council of Economic Advisers.

On average, about 80% of people in their early 30s would earn more than their parents today if income growth were distributed as evenly as it was in 1940. Making growth more equal would help middle-class people the most. But it would also deeply affect wealthy Americans.

People whose parents are among the top earners in this country would see their likelihood of making that much money increase by more 30 percentage points, if growth were more balanced.

“Broadly shared economic growth affects rich people too,” said Hendren, the Harvard assistant professor.

Emily Erdbrink has barely started her adult life, and already she feels as if she’s playing catchup. The 23-year-old Hollywood resident graduated from college in 2015 but hasn’t found work in her field of study, audio production. She estimates that over the last five years her parents have spent approximately \$250,000 on her tuition and living expenses.

She is considering driving for Uber or bartending and says she only has enough money in her savings to survive another two months without an income.

“It’s a little nerve-wracking,” Erdbrink said, especially since she knows her parents were better off in their early 20s than she is now. Her mother owns a New Hampshire engineering company and her father is the chairman of the board of a charter school.

“I think my parents didn’t have a fallback cushion, as I do, so they had to work harder. There was more of a drive to get money,” she said.

What is another, perhaps more significant reason why incomes are stagnating? Highlight some clues from the article.

→

According to the study, what classes of people would benefit from increased earnings/mobility if economic growth was more broadly shared?

→

